IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

71		U.S. COURT OF APPEALS ELEVENTH CIRCUIT OCT 26, 2007
	No. 06-16238 Non-Argument Calendar	THOMAS K. KAHN CLERK
D. C.	Docket No. 06-00817-CV-IPJ-T	TMP
CARL ANGELO GREEN,	,	
		Petitioner-Appellant,
	versus	
JAMES HAYES, ATTORNEY GENERAL O STATE OF ALABAMA, T		
		Respondents-Appellees.
* *	from the United States District of Habam	
	(October 26, 2007)	
Before TJOFLAT, DUBIN	A and CARNES, Circuit Judges	3.
PER CURIAM:		
On April 12, 2006, i	n the Circuit Court of Etowah C	ounty, Alabama, a jury

convicted petitioner of aggravated stalking¹ and the court sentenced him to prison for a term of ten years. He timely appealed his conviction to the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals, and while his appeal was pending, he petitioned the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama for a writ of habeas corpus. His petition stated that, prior to standing trial for aggravated stalking, he had been convicted in "misdemeanor cases" in the "Gadsden City Court" of "domestic violence" and that those misdemeanor cases involved the "same evidence" and "same witnesses" the State used to obtain his conviction for aggravated stalking. Because the State had already used such evidence to obtain the misdemeanor convictions, he contended, the State was barred by the Double Jeopardy Clause (as applied to the States under the Fourteenth Amendment) from prosecuting him on the aggravated stalking charge.

The district court correctly noted that petitioner's habeas petition was premature and therefore dismissed it without prejudice. At the same time, the court granted petitioner a certificate of appealability (COA) on the issue of whether petitioner's double jeopardy claim was exhausted.

We affirm the district court's judgment dismissing the petition without prejudice. At the time the district court entered its order, petitioner's appeal was

¹ <u>See</u> Ala. Code § 13A-6-91 (1975).

still pending; thus, the district court could not have known the disposition the Alabama Court of Criminal Appeals may have made of petitioner's double jeopardy claim. Accordingly, the COA should not have been entered.

The district court's dismissal of the instant petition without prejudice is AFFIRMED.